found against the Chief Minister but .administrative action was advised against a senior official of the State Government. The report of the CBI ia the second case is being processed. The third case involved two allegations; in respect of one CBI did not find any thing incriminating against the Chief Minister while no action was recommended in respect of other allegation on consideration of the legal position and the evidence collected during investigation. The fourth case also involved two allegations; report of the CBI in respect of one allegation is being processed whereas the other allegation is still under investigation.

Commission's report on the Qutab Minar Tragedy

1246. SHRI L. GANESAN;
SHRI V. GOPALSAMY;

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the commission which was appointed to probe into the circumstances that resulted in the human tragedy at Qutab Minar in Delhi has submitted its report;

(b) if so, what are its findings, what action has been taken against those who are responsible for the tragedy; and

(c) whether Government propose to erect a small monument in memory of the school kids who lost their lives with their names inscribed on it, if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL SECURITY (SHRI ARUN NEHRU): (a) Yes.

(b) The findings of the Commiission are laid on the Table of the House. All the persons held responsible for the tragedy were arrested. A case under Section 337/304-A IPC was registered at Police Station, Mehrauli. The case is pending trial in the court of law. (See below)'

(c) No such proposal is under consideration of Government at present.

Statement

The Commission of Enquiry came to the following conclusions:

(a) The failure of electricity in the Tower between 11.00 AM to 1.00 PM on 4-12-1981, on which date the Qutab tragedy occurred. The fault was on the part of Delhi Electric Supply Undertaking and this failure of electricity inside the Tower was one °f the major factors in the. happening of this tragedy.

(b) The slipping of a girl somewhere down below the 8th ventilator caused a commotion resulting in a stampede down the stair-way.

(c) The death of persons was due to the heavy pressure of human bodies lying one above the other and the resultant suffocation.

(d) The Archaeological Department is to blame for the very bad and dangerous condition of almost all the stairs in the first floor inside the Qutab tower in as much as these stairs have become slippery an^ are very much uneven and have dangerous depressions/cantours. The bad condition of the stair6 led to the slipping of a girl somewhere below the 8th ventilator.

Staff strength for Tihar jail

1247. SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA:
SHRI VIRENDRA VERMA:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state-.

(a) what is the number of prisoners/undertrials confined in Tihar Jail as against the capacity;

(b) what is the existing staff strength rank-wise in Tihar Jail as against the sanctioned/required strength to cope with the over-crowding in the Jail;

(c) whether it is a fact that additional strength was proposed by the

Delhi Administration some two years back to effect trifurcation in jail; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof and what are the reasons for not augmenting the staff strength in accordance with the requirement and how does the shortage in the staff reflect on the security of the jail?

THE MINISTEB OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL SECURITY (SHRI ARUN NEHRU):

(a) As against sanctioned capacity of 1273 prisoners in Central Jail and that of 500 in Distt. Jail, Tihar as on 28-2-1986, there were 2736 and 689 prisoners in these jails respectively.

(b) Please see Statement. (See below)

(c) and (d) Yes. However, revised proposals have been received in January, 1986. They are under consideration.

Statement

Sl. No.	Category of Staff	Sanctioned Staff	Staff in position
1	2	3	4
1.	Dy. Inspector General of Prisons	1	1
2.	Superintendent of Central Jail	1	1
3.	Superintendent of District Jail/Deputy Superintendent of Gr. I	1	1
4.	Deputy Supdt. Grade II	2	1
5.	Medical Officer Grade I	1	1
6.	Medical Officer Grade II	3	3
7.	Office Superintendent	1	1
8.	SAS Accountant	1	1
9.	Assistant Superintendents	15	15
10.	Head Clerk	1	1
11.	U.D.C.	5	5
12.	Stenographer	1	1
13.	L.D.C.	5	4
14.	Head Warders	39	30
15.	Warders	292	271
16.	Matron	7	6
17.	Pharmacist	3	3
18.	Store-keeper	1	1
19.	Tailor Master	1	1

1	2	3	4
20.	Teacher	1	1
21.	Weaving Master	1	1
22.	Male Nurse		2
23.	Nursing Orderly	2	2
24.	Mistri Fitter	1	1
25.	Driver	2	2
26.	Asstt. Factory Supervisor	1	1
27.	Carpenter Master	1	1
28.	Peon	1	1
29.	Dak Carrier		1
30.	Orderly	1	1
31.	Cook	1	1
32.	Sweeper	13	11
33.	Chowkidar	2	1

Inquiries into the cases of deaths of suspects in police custody

1248. SHRI SUSHIL CHAND MOHUNTA:
SHRI RAM NARESH KUSHAWAHA;

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases where inquiries into the deaths of suspects in police custody in Delhi were conducted during 1984 and 198S and the level at which these inquiries were conducted; and

(b) what is the number cf cases that were filed and those in which death of the suspects by police torture was established, stating the action taken by Government in each case?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. B. CHAVAN): (a) The deaths that took place in police custody during the year 1984 and 1985 are as follows:

1984—3

1985—5

In all the above mentioned cases inquiries into the death of suspects in police custody were conducted u/s 176 Cr. RC. by the sub-divisional Magistrates.

(b) Out of 3 cases of 1984 two have been filed after the receipt of enquiry-report from the S.D.M. However, in 1 case 2 Sub-Inspector$_s$ have been arrested and the case is pending finalisation for want of enquiry report which is to be submitted by the Sub-divisional Magistrate in this regard.

Out of 5 cases of 1985, 2 have been filed after the receipt of report from the SDM. In the remaining 3 cases the enquiry reports of the SDM are awaited.

Deaths police custody and judicial lock-ups

1249. SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:
DR. SHYAM SUNDAR MOHAPATRA:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS b« pleased to refer to the reply to